gaḥ); Rudra extends up to [the tattva of] kāla;⁸¹⁰ Maheśa is located in his own abode (nijadhāmani).⁸¹¹ Sadāśiva resides in his own power (svaśaktisthaḥ): his body is the five brahmamantras (pañcamantraniketanaḥ).⁸¹² (75-6)

By passing higher and higher (uttarottarasa $\bar{n}c\bar{a}r\bar{a}t$) one attains (bhavanti) higher and higher worlds (uttarottarabh $\bar{u}maya\dot{h}$), which are located in particular places in [the paths of] these [deities(?)]⁸¹³ (tadviśeṣasth $\bar{a}h$), possessed of (saha) their particular properties (tadviśeṣaguṇaih). (77)

Or rather $(v\bar{a})$ there is but one supreme Lord who has different forms (bhedaiḥ sthitaḥ) that are [different] in [no more than] their names ($n\bar{a}magaih$): [He is called] Brahmā because he is great (bṛhattvāt), because He fills (bṛṃhakatvāt), or because He possesses brahman (vā brahmayogataḥ). [He is called] Viṣṇu because it is His nature to be powerful (prabhavasīlatvāt), because He is the source of the universe (prabhavo jagataḥ), He is omnipotent (prabhuḥ). 814 (78)

Because He drives away affliction (rujam drāvayate) He is called Rudra, since the affliction is destroyed (rujah kṣayāt). Because He possesses all the attributes of sovereignty (sarvaiśvaryaguṇaśleṣāt) the sovereign Lord (īśvarah) [is called] Maheśvara. (79)

He is Sadāśiva [because He is] always favourable (sadākāntaḥ), or [be-

Here viṣṭaṃ, as we find in a couple of the versions of this verse cited by TRIPĀṬHĪ (1988:166-7), would make better sense. Cf. also Hevajratantra I.v.13b: viṣaṇād viṣṇur ucyate.

⁸¹⁰In Parākhya 5:151-2 rāga is placed immediately above puruṣatattva and kāla is placed immediately below māyā (see fn. 626 on p. 317 above). Thus Viṣṇu would extend across rāgatattva alone, and Rudra would cover (in ascending order) the tattvas of vidyā, kalā, niyati and kāla.

⁸¹¹This must refer to *īśvaratattva*; what is unclear is whether or not the text intends that he should also extend across the tattvas that intervene between him and Rudra, namely *māyā* and pure *vidyā*.

⁸¹²Perhaps the choice of words here is partly intended to convey that Sadāśiva's 'place' is not to be conceived of spatially.

⁸¹³But perhaps we must supply yogins as the subject of the second half of the verse. ⁸¹⁴More common is the etymologisation of Viṣṇu cited by APTE (1957, s.v.):

yasmād visvam idam sarvam tasya saktyā mahātmanah tasmād evocyate visnur visadhātoh pravesanāt.

⁸¹⁵ This nirvacana has been alluded to twice above: in 2:48 and in 5:143.

⁸¹⁶ex conj. Emendation to ^oguņaiḥ śleṣāt (suggestion of Dr. ISAACSON) is perhaps equally likely.

cause] Siva [means] situated in rest.⁸¹⁷ Thus there exists, [called] with various names, only one Lord of the universe. (80)

It is He who bestows the fruit, and that depends on the goal [at which the yogin aims(?)] (lakṣyasamāśritam).⁸¹⁸ The goal is located in the breath; †it is the 'soul' (jīvam) in the breath (vāyugam) of the embodied person (sakalātmanaḥ)†.⁸¹⁹ (81)

The [individual] soul $(\bar{a}tm\bar{a})$, it seems (kila), 820 †is He $(sah) \cup jomgo\ binduk\bar{a}raput\bar{i}krtam$ †. He resides in all bodies saying 'hamso

hamsa hamseti yo brūyād dhamso devah sadāśivah guruvaktrāt tu labhyeta pratyaksam sarvatomukhah.

prāṇagamāgamasya haṃkāreṇa prāṇavṛttyātmanā sakāreṇa cāpānavṛttirūpeṇānvayād vāgvṛttyavinābhāvasiddheḥ tadgamāgamapravṛttyaiva pratikṣaṇaṃ yo 'haṃsa haṃsa' ity āmantraṇapadena brūyād iti sambhāvanāyām—yad āhuḥ 'saṃkoce ca vikāse ca haṃsa ity akṣaradvayam' iti—tena viśiṣṭenaiva vāgātmaprāṇaśaktidvayajñānavatā puruṣeṇa sadāśivaḥ sakalas tāval labhyate...

⁸¹⁷Or perhaps 'in śānti[-kalā]'.

⁸¹⁸ I do not understand the text here.

⁸¹⁹The accusatives are not accounted for, but, since I have no confidence in my having understood the text, I am reluctant to emend. Perhaps the text assumes a distinction between prāṇa as haṃ and and jīva as sa, which might have been alluded to in 14:69ab, and which is to be found in Vijnānabhairava 24ab (quoted in fn. 821 on p. 376 below).

⁸²⁰For the (for me) unexceptionable, though not particularly common, use of kila in the first position in a sentence see GOODALL 1998:169, fn. 26. At the time of writing that footnote I was not aware that Gopendratippabhūpāla understands kila to have been intended in the list of particles adverted to by Vāmana in Kāvyālaṅkārasūtra 5.5 (na pādādau khalvādayaḥ) as words that should not be used in initial position. This shows that initial kila was known to but frowned upon by Gopendratippabhūpāla and possibly also Vāmana. It is presumably added here to emphasize that this is a yogic exercise rather than a doctrinal statement of truth.

Because of what follows we may suppose that the text is here offering an interpretation of the 'mantra' haṃso haṃsaḥ based upon its similarity to a repeated assertion of the form ahaṃ saḥ! ahaṃ saḥ!: 'I am that! I am that!'. The inward breath is the sound haṃ (ending in an anusvāra) and the outward breath is the sound saḥ (ending in a visarga). This is explained by Sārdhatriśatikālottara 23:3 and by Rāmakaṇṭha's Sārdhatriśatikālottaravṛtti ad loc., which is corrupt in the text offered by the edition, but can fortunately be at least partly repaired with the help of Dviśatikālottara 7:3 and Aghoraśiva's Dviśatikālottaravṛtti (IFP MS T. 176, pp. 43 and Trivandrum MS 4509, ff. 27^v-28^r), which is nearly identical: